- (D) DoD has waived certified cost or pricing data requirements for nonprofit organizations (including education institutions) on cost-reimbursement-nofee contracts. The contracting officer shall require—
- (1) Submission of data other than certified cost or pricing data to the extent necessary to determine reasonableness and cost realism; and
- (2) Certified cost or pricing data from subcontractors that are not nonprofit organizations when the subcontractor's proposal exceeds the certified cost or pricing data threshold at FAR 15.403–4(a)(1).

[63 FR 55040, Oct. 14, 1998, as amended at 71 FR 69493, Dec. 1, 2006; 72 FR 30278, May 31, 2007; 76 FR 58137, Sept. 20, 2011; 77 FR 39139, June 29, 2012; 77 FR 43472, July 24, 2012; 77 FR 52253, Aug. 29, 2012; 77 FR 76937, 76939, Dec. 31, 2012; 78 FR 13543, Feb. 28, 2013; 78 FR 65216, Oct. 31, 2013]

215.403-3 Requiring data other than certified cost or pricing data.

Follow the procedures at PGI 215.403-3.

[72 FR 30278, May 31, 2007, as amended at 77 FR 76940, Dec. 31, 2012]

215.404 Proposal analysis.

215.404-1 Proposal analysis techniques.

- (1) Follow the procedures at PGI 215.404-1 for proposal analysis.
- (2) For spare parts or support equipment, perform an analysis of—
- (i) Those line items where the proposed price exceeds by 25 percent or more the lowest price the Government has paid within the most recent 12-month period based on reasonably available data;
- (ii) Those line items where a comparison of the item description and the proposal price indicates a potential for overpricing;
- (iii) Significant high-dollar-value items. If there are no obvious high-dollar-value items, include an analysis of a random sample of items; and
- (iv) A random sample of the remaining low-dollar value items. Sample size may be determined by subjective judgment, e.g., experience with the offeror

and the reliability of its estimating and accounting systems.

[63 FR 55040, Oct. 14, 1998, as amended at 71 FR 69494, Dec. 1, 2006; 72 FR 30278, May 31, 2007; 77 FR 76940, Dec. 31, 2012]

215.404-2 Data to support proposal analysis.

See PGI 215.404-2 for guidance on obtaining field pricing or audit assistance.

[71 FR 69494, Dec. 1, 2006]

215.404-3 Subcontract pricing considerations.

Follow the procedures at PGI 215.404–3 when reviewing a subcontractor's proposal.

[71 FR 69494, Dec. 1, 2006]

215.404-4 Profit.

- (b) *Policy*. (1) Contracting officers shall use a structured approach for developing a prenegotiation profit or fee objective on any negotiated contract action when certified cost or pricing data is obtained, except for cost-plus-award-fee contracts (see 215.404–74, 216.405–2, and FAR 16.405–2) or contracts with Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) (see 215.404–75). There are three structured approaches—
 - (A) The weighted guidelines method;
- (B) The modified weighted guidelines method; and
 - (C) An alternate structured approach.
- (c) Contracting officer responsibilities. (1) Also, do not perform a profit analysis when assessing cost realism in competitive acquisitions.
- (2) When using a structured approach, the contracting officer—
- (A) Shall use the weighted guidelines method (see 215.404–71), except as provided in paragraphs (c)(2)(B) and (c)(2)(C) of this subsection.
- (B) Shall use the modified weighted guidelines method (see 215.404–72) on contract actions with nonprofit organizations other than FFRDCs.
- (C) May use an alternate structured approach (see 215.404–73) when—
- (1) The contract action is—
- (i) At or below the certified cost or pricing data threshold (see FAR 15.403–4(a)(1));